F. No. 1-A/NMA/NOC-2014 Government of India Ministry of Culture National Monuments Authority

The approved minutes of 139^{th} meeting held on 14^{th} and 15^{th} July, 2016 is hereby forwarded for perusal and comments, if any.

(Navneet Soni) Member Secretary 21.07.2016

Government of India Ministry of Culture National Monuments Authority 24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 139th MEETING OF NMA

Venue

- Mandu, Madhya Pradesh

Time & Date

- 10.30 P.M on 14th & 15th July, 2016

The meeting was attended by the following:

- 1. Ms. Susmita Pande, Chairperson.
- 2. Sh. A.B. Shukla, Whole Time Member, NMA.
- 3. Sh. U.K. Sadhav, Whole Time Member, NMA.
- 4. Sh. Pukhraj Maroo, Part Time Member, NMA.
- 5. Mr. Navneet Soni, Member Secretary

Agenda No. 1

The minutes of 138^{th} meeting held on 27^{th} & 28^{th} June, 2016 were confirmed. It has been noted that Shri AB Shukla, WTM attended the meeting only in the first half of 27^{th} June, 2016.

Agenda No. 2

Consideration of NOC applications

Deferred Cases

Case No. 1

(National Power Training Institute, NPTI Complex, Sec.- 33, Faridabad, Haryana)

After perusal of the application and the clarifications submitted by the applicant, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of the following blocks:

SI. No.	Name of building	No. of blocks	Proposed floor area	Remarks
1	Main institute building	1 (vertical extn.)	TF-1667.49 Sqm	G+2(Existing), Proposed 3 rd floor
2	Type VI quarter	5 (vertical extn.)	FF-203.243 Sqm	GF(Existing), Proposed 1 st Floor

Man

3	New Hostel cum	1 (new const.)	GF-377.44Sqm	New
	Dinning block		FF-372.45 Sqm	Construction
			SF-372.45 Sqm	
			TF-372.45 Sqm	
			Mumty-35.67 Sqm	
	2/		Total covered area=	
			1530.46 Sqm	

Case No. 2

(Sishmahal Construction Pvt. Ltd, Kolkata, West Bengal)

After perusal of the application and information submitted by the CA, Kolkalta, it was decided to ask for the following information:

- 1. CA to give opportunity of being heard to applicant
- 2. CA to give report to NMA within one month
- 3. CA to write to KMC to withhold CC and to not to clear plans without NOC from NMA.

A status may be sent to this office on the above mentioned points, thereafter, the case would be considered again.

Case No. 3

(Sh. G. Subramania, D.no.7, Manjapoo street, srivilliputtur Tamilnadu)

After perusal of the application and the reply of Show cause Notice submitted by applicant, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of residential building for GF+1 with the total height of 8.16 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area GF= 49.02 sqm; FF=26.52 sqm;.

The NOC is granted subject to the following condition:

1. A Regularization Charge of Rs. 10,000/- should be imposed on the applicant for construction without prior permission. This amount should be utilized for providing facilities/amenities at the protected monument under overall guidance of ASI.

Case No. 4

(The President, Arya vaisya Mandali, Vasari mahal road, Aresikare Taluk, hussan ,Karnataka)

After perusal of the application and the reply of Show cause Notice submitted by applicant, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of residential building for GF with the total height of 4.50 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area GF=148.17 sqm.

The NOC is granted subject to the following condition:

Men

1. A Regularization Charge of Rs. 25,000/- should be imposed on the applicant for construction without prior permission. This amount should be utilized for providing NOC facilities/amenities at the protected monument under overall guidance of ASI.

Case No. 5

(Sh. Shivanand Shivalingayya Yakkerimath, Near, S.B.I bus stand Road, Saundatti Taluk, Belgaum Karnataka.)

After perusal of the application and the reply of Show cause Notice submitted by applicant, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of residential building for GF with the total height of 3.80 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area GF=73.78 sqm.

The NOC is granted subject to the following condition:

 A Regularization Charge of Rs. 10,000/- should be imposed on the applicant for construction without prior permission. This amount should be utilized for providing NOC facilities/amenities at the protected monument under overall guidance of ASI.

Case No. 6

(Smt. M.Muneeswari, D.No.:37, vadapathra sayanar sannathi street, srivilliputtur taluka, Tamilnadu)

After perusal of the application and the reply of Show cause Notice submitted by applicant, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of residential building for GF+1 with the total height of 7.865 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area GF=FF=91.526 sqm;.

The NOC is granted subject to the following condition:

 A Regularization Charge of Rs. 30.000/- should be imposed on the applicant for construction without prior permission. This amount should be utilized for providing NOC facilities/amenities at the protected monument under overall guidance of ASI.

Case No. 7

(Sh.Raju S Huded, Bolshetty Chawl, Baihongal post, Baihongal Taluk, Begaum, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application and the reply of Show cause Notice submitted by applicant, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of residential building for GF+1 with the total height of 7.90 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area GF=FF= 51.24 sqm.

The NOC is granted subject to the following condition:



 A Regularization Charge of Rs. 20,000/- should be imposed on the applicant for construction without prior permission. This amount should be utilized for providing NOC facilities/amenities at the protected monument under overall guidance of ASI.

Case No. 8

(Sh.Paskol anton Brito, SC/DT Hostel Road Uttar Kannada , Karnataka.)

After perusal of the application and the reply of Show cause Notice submitted by applicant, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of residential building for GF with the total height of 4.83 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area GF=76.59 sqm.

The NOC is granted subject to the following condition:

1. A Regularization Charge of Rs. 15,000/- should be imposed on the applicant for construction without prior permission. This amount should be utilized for providing NOC facilities/amenities at the protected monument under overall guidance of ASI.

Case No. 9

(Sh.Sawarn singh S/o Sh. Ajaypal singh, village and P.O, Sanghol Tehsil-khamano, Punjab.)

After perusal of the application and the information forwarded by the CA, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of commercial building for GF with the total height of 21 feet (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area GF=437.00 sqft.

Case No. 10

(Sh.Neeraj Behal S/o Sh.kamal Behal, Amritsar, Punjab)

After perusal of the application and the information forwarded by the CA, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of residential building for G+2 with the total height of 36'-6" (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area GF=FF=SF= 1800 sqft.

Case No. 11

(Sh.Neeraj Behal S/o Sh.kamal Behal, Amritsar, Punjab.)

After perusal of the application and the information forwarded by the CA, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of residential building for G+2 with the total height of 36'-6" (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area GF=FF=SF= 1800 sqft.

Whi

Case No. 12

(Sh.Kamaljit Kumar S/o Sh. Bakhshish Lal, Bathinda, Punjab, Khatouni no. 4466)

After perusal of the application and the information forwarded by the CA, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of residential building for G+2 with the total height of 37'-3" (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area GF=FF=SF= 3300sqft.

Case No. 13

(Sh.Kamaljit Kumar S/o Sh. Bakhshish Lal, Bathinda, Punjab, , Khatouni no. 416/468)

After perusal of the application and the information forwarded by the CA, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of residential building for G+2 with the total height of 37'-3" (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area GF=FF=SF= 3300sqft.

Fresh Cases

Case no. 1

(Sri Ganesh Kotyan, Udupi, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of residential/commercial G+1 floor with the total height of 9.14 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc. with floor area of GF=117.80 sqm & F.F= 80.82 sqm.

Case no. 2

(Smt. Mahadevi R. Patil, Gulbarga, Karnataka)

After careful consideration of the case, it was decided to ask for the following queries:

- 1. Reason for delay in reply of the Show Cause Notice which was asked by NMA
- 2. To call for explanation of the CA on the issue and who would be held responsible for the same
- 3. Reply to show cause notice submitted by the applicant, needs to be sent to NMA

Thereafter, the case would be considered again.

Won.

(Sri Fakarappa P Chikkajjanavar, Haveri, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of residential Ground Floor with the total height of 3.5 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc. with floor area of G.F = 402.5 sqm.

Case no. 04

(The Lalit Great Eastern Hotel, Kolkata a Unit of M/s Apollo Zipper India Ltd, Kolkata)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for undertaking repair/renovation of existing front block of The Lalit Hotel on Hemanta Basu Sarani, as per the work proposal provided by the applicant in Annex-I.

Case no. 05

(Shri Shailendra Giri S/o Shri Shobha Giri, Raisen, Madhya Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of residential Ground Floor with the total height of 5.73 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc. with built up area 75.48 sqm. The NOC is granted subject to the condition that the reply of show cause notice from the applicant should be submitted to this office.

Case no. 06

(Shri Pawan Gir S/o Shri Varandawan Giri, Raisen, Madhya Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of residential Ground Floor with the total height of 5.73 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc. with built up area 75.48 sqm. The NOC is granted subject to the condition that the reply of show cause notice from the applicant should be submitted to this office.

Case no. 07

(Mr. Shaikh Abdul Rahman Ishaque, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of residential cum commercial building with the total height to be restricted to 15.50 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.

Mhi

(Mr. Amrut Balkrishna Nagul, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of residential parking +2 floors with the total height of 10.80 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc. with floor area of G.F= 42.52 sqm, F.F= 22.27 sqm.

Case no. 09

(Mr. Manik Vittal Biman, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of residential parking/GF+2 floors with the total height of 10.80 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc. with built up are 84 sqm.

Case no. 10

(Mr. Yash Shreeniwas Rasne, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of residential and commercial G+2 floors with the total height of 12.85 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc. with floor area of G.F=75.16 sqm, F.F=182.76 sqm & T.F=134.51 sqm.

Case no. 11

(Mr. Bharat Keshavrao Narvekar, Nasik, Maharashtra)

After careful consideration of the same, it was observed that the construction had already been taken place in this case, so it was decided to get following clarifications:

- 1. To ask SA whether any show cause notice was issued to the applicant if not then explain the reason for the delay
- 2. To submit the reply of show cause notice as provided by the applicant

Case no. 12

(Mr. Chandrakant Raosaheb Kadam, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of well for village panchayat. The plan of the proposed well may be submitted to this office for approval.

Mhi

(Mr. Rajendra Madanlal Munot, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of residential cum commercial building for G+3 floors with the total height of 14.72 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc. with floor area of G.F=76.05, F.F=30.22 sqm, S.F= 66.37 sqm & T.F= 39.18 sqm.

Case no. 14

(Shri Shivaji Sahebrao Shinde, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of residential parking+2 floors with the total height of 12.30 mtrs including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc. with floor area of parking=46.29 sqm, G.F=F.F= 40.00 sqm.

Case no. 15

(Shri Haji Mohammad Ganibhai Patel (POAH) of Hanifabhai Ganibhai and others, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of GF+3 floors with the total height upto 14.54 mt (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with built up area of GF= FF=SF=169.61 sqm and TF=33.79 sqm.

<u>Case no. 16</u>

(Shri Bansibhai Fulabhai Shah, Gujarat

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of GF+1 floor with the total height upto 7.94 mt (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) as per the building plan submitted by the applicant.

Case no. 17

(Shri Mayur Vasantbhai Sumara and Others, Ahmedabad Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 floors with the total height of 9.10 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, watertank etc) with Built up area of GF=FF=66.31 sqmt.

The NOC is granted subject to the following condition:

1. A Regularization Charge of Rs. 10,000/- should be imposed on the applicant for construction without prior permission. This amount should be utilized for providing facilities/amenities at the protected monument under overall guidance of ASI.

Man

(Shri Zulfkar Illiasbhai Khatri, Vadodara, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask the CA to submit a reply of show cause notice as submitted by the applicant. Thereafter, the case would be considered again.

Case no. 19

(Smt. Jayaben Chhaganlal Zala (Self) and others P.O.A.H. of Taraben Chhaganlal Zala and Aarefabanu Nurrudin Ansari and others , Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask the CA to submit a reply of show cause notice as submitted by the applicant. Thereafter, the case would be considered again.

Case no. 20

(Shri Umesh Shantilal Purohit, Trustee of Lalbhuvan Dharmshala Trust, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Dharmshala for GF+2 floors with the total height of 12.30 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with Built up area of GF= 79.74 sqm & FF=SF=184.02 sqm.

Case no. 21

(Shri Nileshkumar Jayantibhai Patel and Shri Bhikubhai Himmantbhai Pathan, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of residential for GF+1 floor with the total height of 07.56 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with Built up area of GF=FF=48.76 sqm & Terrace floor=9.87 sqm.

Case no. 22

(Shri Pravinbhai Shivsankarbhai Jani, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of commercial for GF+2 floor with the total height of 10.70 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with Built up area of GF=259.20 sqm, FF=349.24 sqm & SF=19.00 sqm.

(Smt. Sumaiyyakhatun Aabidsha Diwan, Ahmedabad, Gujarat.

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of residential for GF+2 floor with the total height of 11.40 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with Built up area of GF=FF=SF= 77.01 sqm.

Case no. 24

(Shri Arunkumar Chimanlal Mashruwala, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for addition and alteration on first floor over existing GF+1 (Part A), with the total height of the building restricted to 9.51 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) and floor area of proposed first floor=50.63 sqmt.

Case no. 25

(Smt. Rekhaben Nimeshbhai Thaker and Shri Nimeshbhai Rameshchandra Thaker, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask the CA to submit a reply of show cause notice as submitted by the applicant. Thereafter, the case would be considered again.

Case no. 26

(Smt. Bablidevi Pokarram and others, Ahmedabad, Gujarat.

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of GF+2 floors with the total height of 13.94 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with Built up area of GF=FF=SF= 80.26 sqm.

Case no. 27

(Shri Rajendra Navinchandra Pathak and Vivek Hemantkumar Shah, Ahmedabad, Gujarat.

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+2 floors with the total height of 10.75 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with Built up area of GF=FF=SF= 64.08 sqm.

The NOC is granted subject to the following condition:

 A Regularization Charge of Rs. 10,000/- should be imposed on the applicant for construction without prior permission. This amount should be utilized for providing facilities/amenities at the protected monument under overall guidance of ASI.

Man

(Shri Krishnakant Jayantilal Patel, Ahmedabad, Gujarat.

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of GF+2 floors with the total height of 10.90 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with Built up area of GF=FF=87.59 sqmt & SF= 51.81 sqm.

The NOC is granted subject to the following condition:

1. A Regularization Charge of Rs. 20,000/- should be imposed on the applicant for construction without prior permission. This amount should be utilized for providing facilities/amenities at the protected monument under overall guidance of ASI.

Case no. 29

(Shri Nooramhad Mahmadali Khalif, Madallikar Chawl, Near 4th No School Siddadevapura Purad Oni, Haveri District Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground Floor with the total height of 4.40 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area GF = 40.67 sqm.

The NOC is granted subject to the following condition:

1. A Regularization Charge of Rs. 5,000/- should be imposed on the applicant for construction without prior permission. This amount should be utilized for providing facilities/amenities at the protected monument under overall guidance of ASI.

Case no. 30

(Smt Kamala Venkatraman Naik, Chankimane, at Moodhabhatkal P.O. Muttali, Bhatkal Taluk, Uttar Kannada District, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for re-construction of Ground Floor with the total height of 4.75 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area GF = 84.20 sqm.

The NOC is granted subject to the following condition:

1. A Regularization Charge of Rs. 10,000/- should be imposed on the applicant for construction without prior permission. This amount should be utilized for providing facilities/amenities at the protected monument under overall guidance of ASI.



(Smt Manjula Maruteppa Kadur, Gonneppanavar Oni, Rattihalli Post, Haveri District, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground floor with the total height of 4.8 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area GF = 58.50 sqm.

The NOC is granted subject to the following condition:

1. A Regularization Charge of Rs. 5,000/- should be imposed on the applicant for construction without prior permission. This amount should be utilized for providing facilities/amenities at the protected monument under overall guidance of ASI.

Case no. 32

(Smt. Prema Bai Babu Shanbhag, Mahasati Katta Circle, Near New Bus Stand, Nelikeri, Kumta, Uttara Kannada-581343, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of residential building for GF+2 floors with the total height of 11.13 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area GF=FF=SF=30.69 sqm.

Case no. 33

(Sri Tippanna Ishwarappa Papannavar, Near Kurubageri Oni, Hangal Taluk, Haveri District-581104, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of residential building for Ground floor with the total height of 4.6 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area GF = 120.95 sqm.

The NOC is granted subject to the following condition:

1. A Regularization Charge of Rs. 10,000/- should be imposed on the applicant for construction without prior permission. This amount should be utilized for providing facilities/amenities at the protected monument under overall guidance of ASI.

Case no. 34

(Sri S. Gurumurthy B/o Sri Huchirappa Acharya, No 16/2, Y. S Hanumantha Rao Lalakaman, T. K. Road Street, Bellary District-583101 Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of residential building for Ground Floor with the total height of 4.50 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area GF =35.15 sqmt.

National Monuments Authority

Olltin

(Sri K. Rakesh Jain, Lakshmi Jewellers, Main Road, Gundlupete, Chamarajanagara District Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of commercial building for GF+1 with the total height of 6.75 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area GF = FF= 57.20 sqm.

Case no. 36

(Sri Maruti S/o Waman Kalal, M.W. Kalal, H.No-669, Somawar Pete Oni, Hangal Taluk & Post, Haveri District-581104, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of residential building for Ground floor with the total height of 4.4 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area GF = 34.10 sgm.

The NOC is granted subject to the following condition:

1. A Regularization Charge of Rs. 5,000/- should be imposed on the applicant for construction without prior permission. This amount should be utilized for providing facilities/amenities at the protected monument under overall guidance of ASI.

2.

Case no. 37

(Sri Sunil Prabhu S/o P. Shankar Prabhu, Church Road, Sagar-577401, Shimoga District, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of residential building for Ground floor with the total height of 5.33 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area GF =54.99 sqm.

Case no. 38

(Smt Ashwini, CMC No: 19-6-63/4(61), Sy. No. 64/A, Shivnagar north Aliabad, Bidar District, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of residential building for GF+2 with the total height of 10.90 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area of GF=40.11 sqmt, FF=111.28 sqmt, & SF=117.28 sqmt.

Mhi

(Shri Ladharam D Patel, Jogamaya Hardwares, Teepu Nagar, Badami Taluk, Bagalkot District Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of FF and SF over existing GF with the total height of 10.81 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with proposed floor area of FF = SF = 99.58 sqm.

Case no. 40

(Smt Shantavva Uppar C/o K. Venkatesh, # 197/1, 17th Cross, KTJ Nagar, Davangere, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of residential building for GF+1 Floor with the total height of 7.65 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area of Prop. GF = 34.71 sqm and FF=22.36 sqmt.

The NOC is granted subject to the following condition:

 A Regularization Charge of Rs. 10,000/- should be imposed on the applicant for construction without prior permission. This amount should be utilized for providing facilities/amenities at the protected monument under overall guidance of ASI.

Case no. 41

(Smt. K.R. Mangala W/o V. K. Vasanth Kumar, 2nd Main, Ranganath Nagar, Srirangapatna Taluk, Mandya District, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of residential building for Ground floor with the total height of 4.45 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc.) with floor area of GF =40.42sqm.

The NOC is granted subject to the following condition:

1. A Regularization Charge of Rs. 10,000/- should be imposed on the applicant for construction without prior permission. This amount should be utilized for providing facilities/amenities at the protected monument under overall guidance of ASI.

(Sri Ashok Shankarlal Mehta and Sri Gulappa Siddappa Shetagar, BLDE Road, Rajguru Ashish Complex, Bijapur District-586107, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of commercial building for Basement+GF+3 Floors with the total height of 12 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with floor area of Basement= 473.13 sqmt, GF= 382.10 sqmt, FF=425.40 sqmt , SF=454.40 sqmt, TF= 302 sqmt and depth of the basement=2.70 mtr.

Case no.43

(Sri Srinivas M, S/o Marithimmaiah, D.No. B1/36, Bangalore Neelgiri road, Gundlupete, Chamarajanagara District, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of 1^{st} and 2^{nd} floor over existing GF with the total height of 10.35 mtrs (including mumty, parapet, water-tank etc) with proposed floor area of FF = SF=130.21 sqm.

Case no. 44

(Sri Gururaj Daththathreya Joshi, K.C Road, Hangal Taluk, Haveri District-581104, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask the CA to submit a reply of show cause notice as submitted by the applicant. Thereafter, the case would be considered again.

Case no. 45

(Shri. Shivanand S/o Ambanna Lengti, H.No-10-975, Lalgeri, Brahampur, Gulbarga District-585103, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask the CA to submit a reply of show cause notice as submitted by the applicant. Thereafter, the case would be considered again.

Case no. 46

(M/s Jamia Hamdard University through its Registrar, Jamia Hamdard University, M.B. Road, Hamdard Nagar, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask the applicant to submit Heritage Impact Assessment prepared by SPA/INTACH associating an Archaeologist. Thereafter the case would be considered again.

Mohi

(Shri Rakesh Thukral (HUF) through its Karta Shri Rakesh Thukral and Shri Avinash Thukral (HUF) through its Karta Shri Avinash Thukral, B-7/121A, Safdarjung Enclave, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for additional construction on ground, first, second and third floor with the total height of building restricted to 18.00 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with total floor area of GF=187.203 sqm., FF=SF=185.807 sqm. & TF=183.878 sqm. Applicant has an existing basement.

Case no. 48

(M/s Wind Chimes Construction Pvt. Ltd., Shri K.K. Dhingra and Shri Amit Dhingra, A-23, Hauz Khas, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement+Stilt+4 floors with the total height of 18 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with floor area of Stilt=GF=192.07 sqm., FF=SF=TF=186.67 sqm. and basement=128.90 sqm. with depth -3.2 mtrs.

Case no. 49

(Smt. Sunita Ahuja, E-2, Hauz Khas, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement+GF+3 floors with the total height of 18 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with floor area of GF=133.78 sqm., FF=SF=TF=122.61 sqm. and basement=112.87 sqm. with depth -1.82 mtrs.

Case no. 50

(Shri Anand Desai through its GPA Shri Prashant Harit, A-2, Gulmohar Park, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement+Stilt+4 floors with the total height of 18 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with floor area of Stilt=GF=235.129 sqm., FF=SF=TF=233.129 sqm. and basement=201.693 sqm. with depth -3.0 mtrs.

Case no. 51

(Shri Poshak, D-1/27, Hauz Khas, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement+Stilt+4 floors with the total height of 18 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with floor area of Stilt=GF=80.52 sqm., FF=SF=TF= 78.21 sqm. and basement=58.70 sqm. with depth -2.90 mtrs.

Man

(Smt. Kanta Mehra, K-54, Green Park Main, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement+Stilt+4 floors with the total height of building restricted to 18 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with floor area of Stilt=GF=FF=SF=TF= 137.91 sqm. and basement=110.39 sqm. with depth -3.20 mtrs.

Case no. 53

(Shri Vipan Mehra and Shri Pradeep Mehra, X-53, Green Park Main, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+4 floors with the total height of 17.44 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with floor area of Stilt=GF=FF=SF=TF= 30.00 sqm.

Case no. 54

(Shri Subhash Chander, 4953/42, Ward No. XI, Bharat Ram Road, Daryaganj, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement+Stilt+4 floors with the total height of 18 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with floor area of Stilt=GF= 222.17 sqm., FF=SF= 219.85 sqm., TF= 180.23 sqm. and basement=222.17 sqm. with depth -2.9 mtrs.

Case no. 55

(Shri Pradeep Kumar, Flat No. 285, Pocket-A, Sector-D, Shalimar Bagh, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for additional construction on ground floor of existing building with the total height of building 11.89 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with proposed additional area 27.94 sqm.

Case no. 56

(Smt. Sangeeta Sharma, Flat No. 286, Pocket-A, Sector-D, Shalimar Bagh, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for additional construction on first floor of existing building with the total height of building 11.89 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with proposed additional area 39.73 sqm.

(Shri Jagdish Kumar, Flat No. 287, Pocket-A, Sector-D, Shalimar Bagh, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for additional construction on second floor of existing building with the total height of building 11.89 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with proposed additional area 39.73 sqm.

Case no. 58

(Shri Satish Kumar Chandoek, Flat No. 288, Pocket-A, Sector-D, Shalimar Bagh, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for additional construction on third floor of existing building with the total height of building 11.89 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with proposed additional area 39.73 sqm.

Case no. 59

(Smt. Pritam Kaur through her GPA Shri Gurcharan Singh Banga, C-9, Malviya Nagar, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement+Stilt+4 floors with the total height of 18 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with floor area of Stilt=GF= 180.58 sqm., FF=SF= 177.23 sqm., TF= 120.40 sqm. and basement=129.36 sqm. with depth -3.05 mtrs.

Case no. 60

(Smt. K. Prema, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground+1 floor with the total height of 9.16 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with floor area of GF=66.33 sqm., FF=68.38 sqm.

Case no. 61

(Smt. S. Sargunam, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground+1 floor with the total height of 9.16 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with floor area of GF=52.13 sqm., FF=55.01 sqm.

(Shri S. Balamurali, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+GF+1 floor with the total height of 9.90 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with floor area of Stilt=GF=FF=61.45 sqm.

Case no. 63

(Shri P. Murugan, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 floor with the total height of 9.16 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, waterstorage tank etc.) with floor area of GF=65.59 sqm. & FF=67.45 sqm.

Case no. 64

(Shri P. Selvaraj, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+GF+1 floor with the total height of 9.90 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with floor area of Stilt=GF=FF=43.90 sqm.

Case no. 65

(Shri N. Murlidharan, Tamil Nadu) Plot No. 18

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+2 floors with the total height of 10.36 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with floor area of FF=SF=174.10 sqm.

Case no. 66

(Shri P. Sundaravasam, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+2 floors with the total height of 11.28 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with floor area of GF=94.60 sqm. FF=98.23 sqm. & SF=16.07 sqm.

Case no. 67

(Shri V.M. Padmaben, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+2 floors with the total height of 12.65 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet,



water-storage tank etc.) with floor area of FF=SF=128.44 sqm. and non-FSI area of Stilt=111.52 sqm. & Head Room=9.94 sqm.

Case no. 68

(Shri P. Ramanathan, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt(GF/Parking)+2 floors with the total height of 13.30 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with floor area of GF=38.49 sqm., Parking=72.72 sqm., FF= 126.14 sqm. & SF=95.68 sqm.

Case no. 69

(Smt. V.M. Guna Sundari, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+2 floors with the total height of 12.65 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with floor area of FF=SF=128.44 sqm. and non-FSI area of Stilt=111.52 sqm. & Head Room=9.94 sqm.

Case no. 70

(Shri S.Hari Balaji, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 floor with the total height of 9.36 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, waterstorage tank etc.) with floor area of GF=FF=87.21 sqm.

Case no. 71

(Shri T. Clement, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 floor with the total height of 9.38 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, waterstorage tank etc.) with floor area of GF=86.94 sqm., FF=93.99 sqm. & Headroom=18.88 sqm.

Case no. 72

(Shri K. Purushothaman, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+2 floors with the total height of 11.44 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with FSI area of GF=56.02 sqm., FF=107.58 sqm. & SF=62.06 sqm. and non FSI area of Car Parking=51.56 sqm., Head Room=10.59 sqm. & Balcony=6.09 sqm.

Mhi

(Shri I. Vijay Anand, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+2 floors with the total height of 11.88 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with floor area of Stilt=FF=SF=162.56 sqm.

Case no. 74

(Shri N. Murlidharan, Tamil Nadu) Plot No. 17

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+2 floors with the total height of 10.36 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) with floor area of FF=SF=127.64 sqm.

Case no. 75

(Shri D.A. John Dennis, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+1 floor with the total height of 9.14 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, waterstorage tank etc.) with floor area of GF=FF=124.54 sqm.

Additional Agenda No. 1:

It has been decided that a self contained note may be sent to Ministry of Law seeking their advice on regularization charges being recommended by NMA in such cases where construction has been started even before the NOC has been granted. The regularization charges are recommended only in those cases, where in normal course NOC could have been granted had the owner applied for NOC prior to starting the construction. The justification for levying the charges is that the AMASR Act, 1958 (amended in 2010) came into effect very recently and the land owners within the regulated area, particularly those with very small land holding are still not aware about the provisions of the Act. Therefore to deny them the NOC, just because they started the construction work but applied at a later stage, would have been too harsh. Under these circumstances it has been decided to seek the guidance of Ministry Of Law as to whether such construction can be regularized by imposing a token regularization charge, and, if so where the money can be deposited, under which budget head. This amount can be utilized for providing tourist friendly facilities at the concerned monument.

Additional Agenda No. 2:

Discussed on 15th July, 2016 in the presence of following special invitees:

Meir

- 1. Shri Madan Singh Chouhan, Competent Authority, Madhya Pradesh
- 2. Shri Zulfeqar Ali, Superintending Archaeologist
- 3. Shri Nitin Shrivastava, Surveyor Gr.-I

The Chairperson, NMA in her introductory remarks stressed upon the need to expedite framing of Heritage Bye Laws in respect of Centrally Protected Monuments. She referred to her meeting with Competent Authorities and officials of Archaeological Survey of India held on in Delhi.

Since the progress is not satisfactory it was decided to adapt the following measures:

- i. Take up framing of Heritage Bye Laws in respect of 410 priority monuments in respect of which most of the NOC applications have been received in the past.
- ii. The contouring of the protected and the prohibited areas of each monument may be completed taking into the account the areas presently under the possession of ASI and the protected/ regulated area therefrom. Detailed contouring will be required only in those areas where there is highly concentrated construction activity.
- iii. It has been observed that the notification regarding the land where the protected monument is situated does not necessarily demarcate the protected area. Therefore, while efforts may be made to approach the State Government authorities for rectification by issuing Correction Notification, in which, area in possession of ASI can be notified. However, this process may take a long time and therefore efforts may be made to complete the Total Station of the protected area without waiting for the notification.
- iv. Where Total Station has already been done the Contour Map may be prepared and Heritage Bye Laws of the Monument may be drafted. It is not necessary to wait for completion of all formalities before starting the drafting of Heritage Bye Laws.
- v. During the extensive visit of monuments by Chairperson and Members in Mandu it was observed that the problem of encroachment of irregular construction do not pose much of a problem in this area. Therefore, it has been decided that CA, Bhopal may take up 5 monuments in the beginning and complete the Heritage Bye Laws of these monuments within 2 months. The list of monuments to be taken up for this purpose may be intimated to NMA within 1 week.
- vi. It has been decided that the categorization of monuments approved by NMA may be sent to Ministry Of Culture once again for issuing notification.
- vii. Regional Director and CA, Bhopal stated that it is necessary to engage a Conservation Architect on short term contract for handling the work related to Heritage Bye Laws. In addition a Surveyor is also required for this purpose. However, the work of the Surveyor can be entrusted for the present to the Surveyor attached to RD office provided the expenditure on TA & DA is met by NMA with the concurrence of DG, ASI.

Men

viii. The issues relating to the template of Heritage Bye Laws were discussed and clarified, wherever required.